

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/623,364		07/18/2003	Adlai H. Smith	38203-6215 3164			
33123	7590	03/14/2005		EXAMINER			
DAVID A.		=	KIM, PETER B				
HELLER EI		N ET AL. ILLAGE DRIVE #	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN DIEGO			2851				
					DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 2 11 41	<u></u>	A 11 4/3					
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
	0.55	10/623,364		SMITH ET AL.	(Sh)				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Peter B. Kim		2851					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re earned  Status	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANCE IN A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANCE IN THIS COMMUNICATION SIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is needed for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	N. 1.136(a). In no event, reply within the statuto od will apply and will e tute, cause the application date of this comm	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
•		his action is nor	n-final.						
3)□ \$	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)⊠ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-17 is/are pending in the analysis of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) 2-5 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 7-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cons			·				
Application	on Papers								
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) he drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) [ A a) [ 2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been ents have been riority documen eau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(	· (s)								
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Summary						
3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other:		-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments filed on Jan. 28, 2005 have been fully considered.

## Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding claim 1, in the recitation "wherein the illumination source and optical element cooperate to produce," it is not clear whether "optical element" is the same optical element mounted on the reticle.

Regarding claims 1 and 15, "narrow" is vague because the term is relative and specific distance is not disclosed. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 10, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi (6,665,049).

Takahashi discloses a method of in-situ measurement of optical aberration comprising producing an illumination at low partial coherence and chief rays filling an entrance pupil with plurality of light ray bundles onto a plurality of locations on a reticle (col. 18, lines 19–67); exposing measurement fiducials of an encoded face of an optical element onto a sensing plane; measuring relative positions of the exposed measurement fiducials on the sensing plane (col. 22,

Application/Control Number: 10/623,364

Art Unit: 2851

line 19 – col. 23, line 13); and determining the optical aberration from the measured positions and known relative positions of the fiducials (col. 22, lines 19-50). Takahashi discloses fiducials that are crosses (Fig. 10 A-C). Takahashi discloses in Fig. 3, changing chief ray angles that vary as a function of position on the encoded face.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Zheng et al. (Zheng) (2001/0017693).

Takahashi discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Takahashi does not disclose fiducials that are square toruses and fiducials that are alignment marks. Zheng discloses in Fig. 1, fiducials that are squares. Zheng also discloses that the test reticle is used to accurately align the image (para 0009). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the square fiducials of Zheng and alignment marks of Zheng in order to accurately place the image and compensate for aberration as taught by Zheng in para 0009-0011.

Claims 12-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Matsuyama et al. (Matsuyama) (2002/0171815).

Art Unit: 2851

Takahashi discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Takahashi does not disclose an illumination modifying optic of a diffuser or an opaque disk with a hole in it. Matsuyama discloses an illumination modifying optic of an opaque disk with a hole in it (Fig. 2, ref. 7L) or a diffuser (para 0493). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the modifying optic of Matsuyama to the invention of Takahashi in order to illuminate the fiducials on the reticle as taught by Matsuyama in para 0022-0026.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Takahashi does not disclose changing of chief ray angles; however, Takahashi discloses the limitation in Fig. 3.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2851

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter B. Kim

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851